2013 - 2014 Report Card for Licking Valley Middle School

SCHOOL GRADE





Achievement

This grade combines two results for students who took the state tests. The first result answers the question – How many students passed the state test? The second result answers the question – How well did students do on the state test?

Performance I ndex 80.0%

Indicators Met	
71.4%	. С





Progress

This is your school's average progress for its students in math and reading, grades 4-8. It looks at how much each student learns in a year. Did the students get a year's worth of growth? Did they get more? Did they get less?

COMPONENT GRADE



Value Added B Overall......B Gifted.....F Students with Disabilities.....C Lowest 20% in Achievement....B

Gap Closing

K-3 Literacy

This grade shows how well all students are doing in your district in reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question – Is every student succeeding, regardless of income, race, ethnicity, or disability?

This grade answers the question – Are more students

learning to read in kindergarten through third grade?

Annual Measurable Objectives 31.1% F

COMPONENT GRADE

COMPONENT GRADE

Coming in

Coming in 2016



Graduation Rate

This grade answers the question – How many ninth graders graduate in four years or five years?

COMPONENT GRADE



Graduation Rates

This school is not evaluated for graduation rate because there are not enough students in the graduating class.



Prepared for Success

This grade answers the question – Are students who graduate from your district ready for college or a career? There are many ways to show that graduates are prepared.

OMPONENT GRADE



K-3 Literacy Improvement

? Coming in



Achievement



This grade combines two results for students who took the state tests. The first result answers the question – How many students passed the state test? The second result answers the question – How well did students do on the state test?

COMPONENT GRADE



Performance Index


The Performance Index measures the test results of every student, not just those who score proficient or higher. There are six levels on the index and districts receive points for every student in each of these levels. The higher the achievement level the more the points awarded in the district's index. This rewards schools and districts for improving performance.


Performance Index




Achievement Level	Pct of Students	•	oints for his Level	F	Points Received
Advanced Plus	0.0	х	1.3	=	0.0
Advanced	15.2	х	1.2	=	18.2
Accelerated	25.2	х	1.1	=	27.7
Proficient	41.1	х	1.0	=	41.1
Basic	11.9	х	0.6	=	7.1
Limited	6.4	х	0.3	=	1.9
Untested	0.3	х	0.0	=	0.0
					96.0







Performance Index Trend




2013 - 2014 Report Card for Licking Valley Middle School

GRADE

Indicators Met

Indicators Met measures the percent of students who have passed state tests. Test results are reported for each student in a grade and subject. At least 80 percent of students must pass to get credit for the indicator.

Indicators Met %





Ohio	Crad	uation	Toet
UIIU	Grau	uation	rest


This school does not have enough Ohio Graduation Test results to display this table.

Grades 3-5


This school does not have enough test results in 3rd, 4th, or 5th grade to display this table.

Grades 6-8				
6th Grade	Mathematics	80.8%	~	
otri Grade	Reading	86.5%	~	
7th Grade	Mathematics	61.7%	×	
	Reading	82.6%	~	
8th Grade	Mathematics	94.3%	~	
	Reading	87.3%	~	
	Science	79.6%	×	


Achievement Levels by Grade



7th Grade




8th Grade




Proficient Percent Trend by Grade






7th Grade



8th Grade




Gifted Students



The Gifted Students data and Indicator highlight the opportunities for and performance of gifted students. The dashboard answers several questions: How many students are identified as gifted and in what categories? How many of those students are receiving gifted services? How well are those gifted students performing? The Gifted Indicator measures whether opportunity and performance expectations are being met for gifted students.

INDICATOR Coming in 2015




Value-Added measures the progress for all students identified as gifted in reading, math, and/or superior cognitive ability.



Enrollment by Gifted Category

These charts show the percentage of enrolled students that are identified as gifted and that are receiving gifted services.



Identified and Receiving Services

These charts show, of the students identified as gifted, the percentage of students receiving gifted services.



Progress



This is your school's average progress for its students in math and reading, grades 4-8. It looks at how much each student learns in a year. It answers the question – Did the students get a year's worth of growth? Did they get more? Did they get less?



Overall GRADE This measures the progress for all students in math and reading, grades 4-8. В **Gifted Students** GRADE This measures the progress for students identified as gifted in reading, math, and/or superior cognitive ability. F Students in the Lowest 20% in Achievement GRADE This measures the progress for students identified as the lowest 20% statewide in reading and math achievement. В Students with Disabilities GRADE This measures the progress for students with disabilities. **High School** A High School measure of progress will be reported in the 2014-15 school year Coming in 2015

Progress Details


This table shows the Progress scores by test grade and subject, and includes up to three years of data as available.

Test Grade	Progress Score		
Test Grade	Reading	Mathematics	All Tests
All Grades	-0.62	3.82	1.83
6th Grade	-1.92	-5.34	-4.57
7th Grade	0.88	2.44	2.12
8th Grade	0.26	8.36	5.25

Although Progress scores are not	A = 2.00 and up
assigned letter grades at this level of	B = 1.00 to 1.99 C = -1.00 to 0.99
detail, the grading scale applied at the	D = -2.00 to -1.01
Overall (All Students, All Tests) level is:	F = below -2.00

Progress vs. Performance Index

This bubble chart shows the relationship between each subgroup's Performance Index results (horizontal axis) to the Value-Added letter grade (vertical axis). The size of the bubble represents the size of the student subgroup.



Performance Index

Annual Measurable Objectives

Gap Closing



This grade shows how well all students are doing in your district in reading, math, and graduation. It answers the question – Is every student succeeding, regardless of income, race, ethnicity, or disability?






that goal in reading, math and graduation - and emphasize any achievement gaps that exist between groups. The ultimate goal is for all groups to achieve at high levels.

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) compare the performance of student groups to a state goal which is displayed as the red line in the following charts. These charts show how well each group achieves

GRADE



0



This school does not have an Annual Measurable Objective for Graduation Rate because there were not enough students to evaluate.

Graduation Rate

The red line on each graph identifies the Annual Measurable Objective. The 2014 AMO for Reading is 84.9%, for Math is 80.5%, and for Graduation Rate is 78.2%. Subgroups with fewer than 30 students are not rated and do not appear on the graphs.

IRN: 020479

Graduation Rate



This grade represents the percentage of students whom entered the 9th grade and graduated 4 and 5 years later.



This school has not been assigned a grade for Graduation Rate because there were not enough students to evaluate. The Graduation Rate graph that would appear in this space cannot be displayed because there were not enough students to evaluate.

K-3 Literacy



This grade answers the question - Are more students learning to read in kindergarten through third grade?



GRADE	In Your School	Details of Measure			
		Not On-Track at Point A		Improving to On at Point B	
K-3 Literacy Improvement This data is not displayed because there are not enough students to evaluate. K-3 Literacy was not calculated for this school because there were not enough students to evaluate.	Kindergarten Reading Diagnostic, School < Year 2012 - 2013	10 ^{to}	1st Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2013 - 2014	< 10	
	K-3 Literacy was not calculated for this	1st Grade Reading Diagnostic, School < Year 2012 - 2013	10 ^{to}	2nd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2013 - 2014	< 10
	5	2nd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School < Year 2012 - 2013	10 ^{to}	3rd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School Year 2013 - 2014	< 10
		3rd Grade Reading Diagnostic, School < Year 2013 - 2014	10 ^{to}	3rd Grade Reading OAA, School Year 2013 - 2014	< 10
		Deduction for 3rd graders who did not pass OAA and were not on a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan			< 10
		Totals <	10		<10

Percentage On-Track in Reading Diagnostic	Third Grade Reading Guarantee		
	Ohio's Third Grade Reading Guarantee ensures that students are successful in reading before moving on to fourth grade. Schools must provide supports for struggling readers in early grades. If a child appears to be falling behind in reading, the school will immediately start a Reading Improvement and Monitoring Plan. The program ensures that every struggling reader gets the support he or she needs to learn and achieve.		
K-3 Literacy was not calculated for this school because there were not enough students to evaluate.	Students have multiple opportunities to meet promotion requirements including meeting a minimum promotion score on the third grade state reading tests given in the fall and spring. Students have an additional opportunity to take the state assessment in the summer, as well as a district-determined alternative assessment.		
	The Parent Roadmap is available to help parents understand how the Third Grade Reading Guarantee applies to your child.		
	How many third graders met the Third Grade Reading Guarantee requirements for promotion to 4th grade?		
	How many third graders scored proficient on the state Reading test?		

Prepared for Success



This grade answers the question – Are students who graduate from your school ready for college or a career? There are many ways to show that graduates are prepared.

Coming in 2016

How Prepared was Your 2013 Graduating Class?	Outcomes after High School Graduation
How Prepared was Your 2013 Graduating Class? ACT: Participation ACT: Remediation Free SAT: Participation SAT: Participation SAT: Remediation Free SAT: Remediation Free Honors Diploma Industry-Recognized Credential Advanced Placement: Participation AP: Exam Score of 3 Oul Enrollment Credit International Baccalaureate IB: Exam Score of 4 or Better	Districts and schools have long-term impacts on student outcomes. The Prepared for Success component provides information on how schools prepare students for different pathways of college and career success. It also provides insights on how those students do once they leave high school. What happens beyond the diploma is an important indicator of how well schools are preparing students. The University System of Ohio provides <u>district reports</u> on enrollment and remediation of high school graduates attending in-state, public colleges and universities. Additional data on outcomes after high school are coming soon. These will include college graduation, demographics of college enrollees, workforce and military enlistment.

Note: These data represent students in the 4-year graduation rate, i.e. students who entered 9th grade in 2010.

Data used in generating the ACT and SAT Remediation Free, AP Exam, IB Exam, and Dual Enrollment Credit measures for the 2013 graduating class were not reported to the Ohio Department of Education by districts. To confirm the information on this page and get a complete picture of the work your district is doing to prepare students for college and career success, please contact your district directly.



Principal: Scott A. Beery Address: 1379 Licking Valley Rd Newark OH 43055-9450 Phone: (740) 763-3396

Directory information current as of the 2013-2014 Report Card publication date.

Your School's Students




Enrollment by Subgroup

LIII OIIIIIEIII.			
485		Enrollment #	Enrollment %
405	Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC	
	Asian or Pacific Islander	NC	
Number of	Black, Non-Hispanic	NC	
Limited English	Hispanic	NC	
Proficiency Students Excluded from Accountability Calculations:	Multiracial	13	2.6%
	White, Non-Hispanic	463	95.4%
	Students with Disabilities	46	9.6%
	Economically Disadvantaged	211	43.4%
	Limited English Proficiency	NC	
	Migrant	NC	

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

State and federal law require an annual assessment of Limited English Proficient (LEP) students to measure their English language proficiency. The Ohio Test of English Language Acquisition (OTELA) is the assessment used in Ohio to gauge LEP students' growth in learning English. For information about your district's OTELA results, see the Department of Education's web site at http://education.ohio.gov.



	All Students	94.0%
	Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC
Ob was in the	Asian or Pacific Islander	NC
Chronic Absenteeism	Black, Non-Hispanic	NC
Rate:	Hispanic	NC
	Multiracial	93.6%
16.0%	White, Non-Hispanic	94.1%
	Students with Disabilities	91.6%
	Economic Disadvantage	92.3%
	Limited English Proficiency	NC
	Migrant	NC
	Male	94.1%
	Female	93.9%

Attendance Rate

100.0%

94.0%

80.0% 60.0% 40.0% 20.0% 0.0% American Indian or Alaska... All Students Asian or Pacific Islander Black, Non-Hispanic Multiracial Hispanic Students with Disabilities White, Non-Hispanic Economic Disadvantage Limited English Proficiency Migrant Male Female

93.6%

94.1% 91.6%

91.6%

93.9%

94.1%

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

Attendance Rate is not shown if enrollment is less than 10.

Mobility Rates by Subgroup

Stude	Student Mobility %	
All Students	0.0%	
Am. Indian / Alaskan Native	NC	
Asian or Pacific Islander	NC	
Black, Non-Hispanic	NC	
Hispanic	NC	
Multiracial	0.0%	
White, Non-Hispanic	0.0%	
Students with Disabilities	0.0%	
Economically Disadvantaged	0.0%	
Limited English Proficiency	NC	
Migrant	NC	

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

A mobility rate chart cannot be displayed for this school because either there are not enough students to evaluate in any subgroup or all calculated results are 0.0%.

2013 - 2014 Report Card for Licking Valley Middle School

Your School's Teachers

Your School's Poverty Status: Medium-Low	Your School	Your District
Percentage of teachers with at least a Bachelor's Degree	100.0	100.0
Percentage of teachers with at least a Master's Degree	74.7	60.9
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes not taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.9	2
Percentage of core academic subject and elementary classes taught by properly certified teachers	99.1	97.8
Percentage of core academic subject elementary and secondary classes taught by teachers with temporary, conditional or long-term substitute certification/licensure	0	0
Lead or Senior Teachers:	0.0	0.0

Number of Teachers by Program Area

General Education	25.6
Gifted and Talented	0.0
Career-Technical Programs	0.0
Art Education K-8	2.0
Music Education K-8	1.0
Physical Education K-8	0.0
ELL Instructional Program	0.0
Special Education	2.0

A district's high-poverty schools are those ranked in the top quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. Low-poverty schools are those ranked in the bottom quartile based on the percentage of economically disadvantaged students. A district may have buildings in both quartiles, in just one quartile or in neither quartile.

NC = Not Calculated because there are fewer than 10 in the group

Wellness and Physical Education

The extent to which students are successful in meeting the benchmarks contained in Ohio's physical education standards

Moderate Success

Fine Arts Courses Offered

General Music Instrumental Music Visual Art (K-12) Vocal/Choral Music

Financial Data



These measures answer several questions about spending and performance. How much is spent on Classroom instruction? How much, on average, is spent on each student? What is the source of the revenue? How do these measures compare to other districts and schools?

Classroom Spending DataWhat percent of funds are spent
on classroom instruction?66.8%SchoolDistrictStateJostic<

Spending per Pupil Data

	School	State
Operating Spending per Pupil	\$7,525	\$9,189
Classroom Instruction	\$5,029	\$6,192
Non-Classroom Spending	\$2,496	\$2,998

